

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/502,498	HAFNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph A. Dillon, Jr.	3651

All Participants:

(1) Joseph A. Dillon, Jr. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas Arno. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Offered the applicant an examiner's amendment(s) to place the case in condition for allowance. The applicant did not call back with an answer, even after several follow-up phone calls, or return any of the follow-up calls.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOE DILLON, JR.
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)